

Establishing a base for understanding the threat of the brown marmorated stink bug to plants of value to Māori

E whakarite ana he tūāpapa e mārāma ai i ngā kino o te ngārara pīhau parauri ki ngā tipu e whai hua ki te Māori

David A.J. Teulon^{1,4*}, Aleise Puketapu², Hone T. Ropata^{3,4} and Ross Bicknell¹

¹The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited, Private Bag 4704, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand

²The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited, 412 No 1 Road, RD 2, Te Puke, New Zealand

³The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited, Private Bag 92169, Auckland, New Zealand

⁴Better Border Biosecurity (B3), New Zealand (<http://b3nz.org>)

*Corresponding author: David.Teulon@plantandfood.co.nz

Abstract The brown marmorated stink bug (BMSB) *Halyomorpha halys* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) is an invasive pest in North America and Europe that damages many plant species and invades human dwellings. It is regularly intercepted at Aotearoa/New Zealand's borders but is not yet known to have established. Māori are partners in New Zealand's biosecurity community and an understanding of the potential impact of any invasive alien species to their interests is essential. The known impacts of BMSB in published literature were reviewed with a focus on Māori plant taonga (valued or treasured plant species) in: (1) Māori commercial enterprises; (2) māra kai (food gardens); and (3) the natural estate. Many fruit and some vegetable species are likely to be affected by BMSB in commercial and non-commercial Māori horticulture but the impact of BMSB on indigenous/native and other taonga plant species in māra kai and the native estate is difficult to evaluate. BMSB poses a serious economic threat to some crop species of commercial value to Māori, as well as threat to some native taonga species. A kaupapa Māori approach examining unpublished mātauranga (knowledge) would considerably broaden this understanding.

Te Tuarongo He ngārara raupatu kaha nei i te tini o ngā tipu, te urutomo noa i te hunga tangata te ngārara nei. Ka kaha haukotīngia te ngārara nei e te mana ārai o Aotearoa heoi anō, kāore anō kia whakawhenua i a ia. E mahi tāhi ana a Māori rāua ko te hāpori marukoiora, anō hoki e mārāma ana i te mōrearea o ngā tipu tauīwi - e whai pānga kia rātou. Te Tukanga. I arotake i ngā tuhinga e hāngai ana ki ngā kopuratanga e mōhio nei - e Māori ai te titiro o roto: (1) ngā pākihi Māori (2) ngā māra kai (3) te taiao anō hoki. Te Whakautu. He maha hoki ngā huawhenua me ngā huarākau ka pāngia e te BMSB o roto i ngā pākihi, i ngā ahuone Māori heoi anō, te taea te whakatau i ngā pānga o te BMSB ki te iwi taketake me ōna taonga o roto i ngā māra kai. Te Whakakapinga. Kei tino raru ētahi tipu e whai pānga ki te Māori, ngā tipu taketake anō hoki i te BMSB. Mā te tirohanga Māori e whakawhānui i ngā mōhiotanga.

Keywords brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys*, Māori, taonga, plant border biosecurity, protection

INTRODUCTION

Estimates of economic damage to productive plant systems in Aotearoa/New Zealand from established invasive species are large (Goldson et al. 2015) but with environmental, social and cultural impacts mostly unmeasured (Brockerhoff et al. 2010). However, New Zealand continues to be at risk from a range of invasive alien species and their undesirable impact on valued plant species in both the productive sector and the natural estate (Edney-Browne et al. 2018; Sikes et al. 2018).

To mitigate the impacts of invasive alien species, New Zealand's biosecurity system has a range of measures that include pre-border, at-border and post-border activities (Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI) 2016). Increasingly, it is understood that an effective biosecurity system requires a collective effort from the wider community including government, industry and the general public (Hellstrom et al. 2008). Indeed, Biosecurity 2025 (Biosecurity NZ 2018) recognises the unique knowledge and perspective of New Zealand's indigenous people, and the need for them to actively participate at all levels of the system (MPI 2016). Such a role for Māori is increasingly recognised in biosecurity literature (Teulon et al. 2015, Allen et al. 2018, Lambert et al. 2018, Black et al. 2019). Their increasing role is reflected in the activities of Te Tira Whakamātaiki (The Māori Biosecurity Network) (Te Tira Whakamataiki nd) and the Biological Heritage National Science Challenge (BHNSC nd).

The brown marmorated stink bug (BMSB) (*Halyomorpha halys* Stål) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) is an invasive alien species originating from East Asia. It is now established in North America and Europe (Leskey & Nielsen 2018) and has recently been found in Chile (Faúndez & Rider 2017). Throughout its distribution, BMSB is a serious pest of many agricultural and horticultural crops, as well as a

significant nuisance pest because of its behaviour of overwintering inside dwellings in large numbers (Leskey & Nielsen 2018). BMSB has not established in New Zealand to date (Vandervoet et al. 2019) but is intercepted regularly at the border and is considered to pose a serious biosecurity threat to New Zealand plant systems (Duthie 2015; Haye et al. 2015; Ballingall & Pambudi 2017, MPI 2019). The potential impact of BMSB on a range of New Zealand plant crop and native plants has been documented (Duthie 2012; Ballingall & Pambudi 2017) but its specific potential impact on plant species of high value to Māori has not. Several Māori entities have recognised that BMSB poses a serious threat to plants in productive and natural systems (Te Tira Whakamataiki 2017; Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu 2018) but there is a need to further illustrate the basis for this conclusion.

Plants of cultural significance or value and considered taonga to Māori include many indigenous and introduced species (Waitangi Tribunal 2011). Taonga plants serve as important sources of food, fibre, medicine (rongoa), building and ritualistic materials for Māori (Department of Conservation DOC 2006; Roberts 2009; McGowan 2012). Taonga species may be part of a defined list (e.g. DOC 2006), or more generally any species of importance to Māori such as root crop plants (e.g. kūmara and taro), and animals such as kiore (rat) and wild pig (Roberts 2009).

The current study involved a review of published literature to generate a background document for future research into the wider topic adopting a kaupapa Māori focused approach including mātauranga (knowledge) sourced directly from hapu (subtribe) and iwi (tribe). The likely impacts of BMSB to valued plants in New Zealand are summarised and placed in the context of the potential threat of BMSB to Māori commercial interests, māra kai and other taonga species found in natural systems. This work

focused on the threats of BMSB to plants (i.e. damage) and not the potential broader effects that may be forthcoming, such as the disruption of the *aiōpipi* (calm) of the *taiao* (environment) (Te Tira Whākamataki 2017), which will require further consideration from a Te Ao Māori perspective.

METHODS

BMSB threat to plants

Information on the impact of BMSB to plant species was collated from key international BMSB literature reviews (Lee et al. 2013; Rice et al. 2014; Haye et al. 2015; Leskey & Nielsen 2018). In addition, publications obtained from regular alerts from Web of Science (Clarivate Analytics) over a period from May 2017 to April 2019 using the TOPIC option for keywords: “*Halyomorpha halys*” OR “*brown marmorated stink bug*” were reviewed for additional information published after the review articles. From these sources, a detailed list of BMSB host plants was established, in particular plant hosts that supported high densities of BMSB and/or those plant hosts that were reported to have significant damage caused by BMSB.

Māori horticulture and agriculture

Information on Māori agricultural and horticultural commercial interests, and *māra kai* (Māori community gardens) was sourced from an investigation of the Web of Science and ‘grey’ literature. Published literature concerning indigenous issues is often limited (Sanson-Fisher et al. 2006; McKerchar et al. 2014), including in the Māori agribusiness space (Hutchings et al. 2017) and there is a lack of ethnicity or ownership identifiers in national industry datasets (Kingi 2013). In particular, information was sourced from Google using a range of search terms (and their combinations) including Māori, (or Maori), *iwi*, *māra* (or *mara*), *maara kai*, community, garden, pastoral, arable and horticulture, along with the names of the eight largest *iwi* (TDB Advisory Ltd 2019). Websites of these eight *iwi* were also inspected further for any relevant information (e.g. size and range of investments

in agriculture and horticulture). Traditional *kaupapa* Māori research processes, which may identify information held within *hapu* and *iwi*, was not undertaken in this study as this paper is intended to serve as the basis for further research utilising these and other methods.

Natural estate

Based on the information collated for host plants of high BMSB abundance and high BMSB damage (see above, Appendix 1), notable New Zealand indigenous and native plant species were identified (Allan 1961) and grouped according to plant family in an attempt to identify New Zealand plant species from the natural estate that might be threatened by BMSB.

RESULTS

BMSB threat to plants

The threat from BMSB to a range of plant species is well documented in a series of review publications for Asia (Lee et al. 2013), North America (Rice et al. 2014; Leskey & Nielsen 2018) and Europe (Haye et al. 2015) and more recently including *Actinidia* spp. (Kiwifruit Vine Health 2017; Andreadis et al. 2018; Teulon & Xu 2018).

A summary of the plant species that host relatively large BMSB populations (largely from Rice et al. 2014, STOP BMSB 2015) and for which high BMSB damage has been reported (various sources) or both is provided in Appendix 1. As expected, this list is dominated by plants from the productive sector (i.e. fruit including grapes, vegetables, field crops, nut crops and ornamentals) in the northern hemisphere. For most plants, damage results from BMSB feeding on flowers and fruiting bodies leading to tissue damage and fruit deformities (Lee et al. 2013; Rice et al. 2014; Haye et al. 2015; Leskey & Nielsen 2018). There are reports of losses of young flowers in North America but these are largely anecdotal observations (T. Leskey pers. comm.). Much of the damage associated with BMSB is cosmetic in nature (i.e. making the fruit less appealing to the consumer). Non-cosmetic damage, such as the putative loss of flowers, loss of fruiting bodies, plant wilting, and general statements regarding

'plant damage' have been reported (Appendix 1) but these are seldom qualified in terms of plant impact. Haye et al. (2015) stated that no direct, negative environmental impacts are known, such as damage to wild plants. An economic analysis of selected agricultural and horticultural industries estimated GDP losses of between \$1.8 and \$3.6 B could be accrued by 2038 if BMSB had become established in New Zealand in 2017 (Ballingall & Pambudi 2017). However, the report did not include any specific impacts to Māori.

Māori horticulture and agriculture

Māori own a range of assets in New Zealand's primary sectors including 50% of the fishing quota, 40% of forestry, 30% in lamb production, 30% in sheep and beef production, 10% in dairy production and 10% in kiwifruit production (Chapman Tripp 2017). Food and fibre account for approximately 47% of New Zealand's exports and 15% of these are linked to Māori resources (KPMG 2017). These assets contribute to the so-called, but perhaps poorly termed, Māori economy (Amoamo et al. 2018), which has been estimated to be worth \$NZ50 B and which is reported to be growing faster than many other parts of the New Zealand economy (KPMG 2017).

Māori trusts and incorporations mostly engage in pastoral farming but some have diversified their interests to include geothermal energy, property, forestry, honey production, fisheries and horticulture (KPMG 2017) some of which could be affected by BMSB. Horticulture has been highlighted as an area for future investment and involvement within the Māori economy (KPMG 2017) and this expansion could also be adversely affected by BMSB. Several iwi were identified with significant interests in horticulture, wine and honey production (Table 1) but it was not possible to quantify the degree of Māori involvement in the 'other plant production' sectors that might suffer direct impact from BMSB apart from the kiwifruit sector (10% of total in kiwifruit production; Chapman Tripp 2017). Māori commercial entities grow kiwifruit, apple, sweet pepper, tomato and wine grape

crops and all these could be at significant risk if BMSB became established in New Zealand based on the current literature (Appendix 1) although BMSB does not seem to be a problem on sweet pepper in greenhouses at least in North America (T Leskey pers. comm.). To date, no species from the Myrtaceae have been recorded as BMSB feeding or breeding hosts (Lee et al. 2015; CABI 2019; Bergmann et al. nd) so it is possible that BMSB would not be a direct threat to the mānuka honey industry.

There is no doubt that there are many smaller Māori entities producing fruit or vegetables that would be highly susceptible to BMSB attack such as those growers represented within Tāhuri Whenua Incorporated Society (Tāhuri Whenua 2019), Māori Kiwifruit Growers Inc. (Māori Kiwifruit Growers 2017) and Tuku-Māori Winemakers Collective (Tuku 2018). Tāhuri Whenua currently has 469 registered members with most (>90%) involved in marae or community gardens (R. Estrada pers. comm.). There is considerable (but non-quantified) Māori involvement in the horticulture industry, not necessarily as traditional producers (e.g. māra kai – see below), but as contributors to the New Zealand economy (Roskrige 2007).

Māori have significant investments in livestock and dairy (see above, Chapman Tripp 2017) and the indirect effects on these industries through BMSB feeding on fodder crops may be important but have not been studied. Field corn or maize has a high risk for BMSB damage (Appendix 1). How BMSB might impact the productivity and nutritional quality of maize silage for animal feed (its main use in New Zealand) is unclear. Similarly, the risk of BMSB to New Zealand's important brassica crops is not obvious. The greatest use (and value) of brassica crops in New Zealand is for animal feed and it is not known whether BMSB damage will be sufficient to affect this usage.

Māra kai (food gardens)

Horticulture is deeply rooted in Māori culture with ancestral links (whakapapa) going back to the origins of Māori genealogies (Roskrige 2007).

Table 1 A selection of Māori economic interests that might be impacted by the brown marmorated stink bug (BMSB) *Halyomorpha halys* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae).

Iwi	Companies	Activity	References
Ngāti Awa, Te Arawa	Matai Pacific Iwi Collective (Ngāti Awa Group Holdings, Te Arawa Group Holdings & Rotoma No 1 Inc.)	98 ha kiwifruit	Anon 2018a
Ngāti Porou	Ngati Porou Miere Limited Partnership	Manuka honey production	Anon 2015a
Ngāi Tahu	Watson & Son Ngāi Tahu Farming	Manuka honey production Trialling apple, blueberry & stonefruit	Anon 2019 Fulton 2018, Bristow 2019
Tūwharetoa	Tuaropiki Trust / Gourmet Mokai	11 ha glasshouses capsicums & tomatoes	Anon 2012
Te Tau Ihu: Ngāti Koata, Ngāti Rārua, Ngāti Tama, Te Ātiawa	KONO (Wakatū Incorporation) incl. Kono Wines, Tohu Wines & Aronui Wines	224 ha of apple, kiwifruit, pears & hops. Vineyards	Anon 2015b
Ngāi Te Rangi	Ngai Tukairangi Trust Mangatawa Papamoa Blocks Inc.	125 ha kiwifruit 30 ha kiwifruit, 3.5 ha avocados	Landow 2018 MPBI 2019

Traditionally, horticulture was part of the daily life for Māori providing food, medicine (rongoa) and utilities (e.g. clothing, rope) (Roskrug 2007). Since colonisation, the place and role of Māori horticulture has evolved over time in response to the importation of new plant species as well as other political (e.g. land confiscation and sale) and geo-demographic (e.g. urbanisation) issues (Roskrug 2007; McKerchar et al. 2014). Contemporary Māori horticulture in general, and māra kai in particular, has been discussed not just in the context of food production for whānau, marae or hapū, but is deeply embedded in food security, food sovereignty, community health, economic development and autonomy (McKerchar et al 2014; Hutchings et al. 2018). In this context, there has been a recent resurgence in food-gardening initiatives within Māori communities (McKerchar et al 2014) supported by both iwi (Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu 2013; Anon

2018b) and government (Te Puni Kōkiri 2019).

Roskrug (2007) provided a detailed list and information of species grown historically in māra kai of which many (if not all) could be considered taonga. A BMSB threat status has been assigned to these species (Table 2) with only kānga (corn, *Zea mays*) at high risk based on international knowledge (Appendix 1), and poroporo (*Solanum aviculare*) and karaka (*Corynocarpus laevigatus*) at medium risk because of BMSB's propensity to attack fruit. A large number of species were classified as low-risk because they were either root/tuber crops or leafy vegetables, which are not considered at risk from BMSB (Kuhar et al. 2016). Many species (included various flaxes and ferns) could not be categorised due to lack of knowledge. A range of traditional foods such as kūmara (sweet potato), taro (arum), uwhi (yam), taewa (potato), kamokamo (squash), kānga (corn) and hue (bottle gourd) as

Table 2 Key plant species in Māori horticulture as found in Roskruge (2007) and their brown marmorated stink bug (BMSB) *Halyomorpha halys* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) damage profile.

Damage profile	Plant species	Reason and/or source material for justification of damage profile
At risk	kānga (Indian corn, maize) (<i>Zea mays</i>)	Rice at al. 2014
Medium risk	poroporo fruit (<i>Solanum aviculare</i>)	A pest of <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> and <i>S. melongena</i> is a host plant (STOP BMSB 2015)
	karaka fruit (<i>Corynocarpus laevigatus</i>)	A preference for fruiting bodies (STOP BMSB 2015)
Low risk or no risk	kūmara (sweet potato) (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>)	Tuber vegetables not at risk (STOP BMSB 2015, Kuhar et al. 2016)
	hue (bottle gourd) (<i>Lagenaria siceraria</i>)	Minor feeders on cucubits (Kuhar et al. 2016)
	uwahi/Uhi (yam) (<i>Dioscorea alata</i>)	Tuber vegetables not at risk (Kuhar et al. 2016)
	taewa/Peruperu/Riwai/Parareka (Maori potato) (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>)	Tuber vegetables such as potato not at risk (STOP BMSB 2015, Kuhar et al. 2016)
	kamokamo (<i>Cucurbita pepo</i> cv Kamokamo)	Minor feeders on cucubits (Kuhar et al. 2016)
	hāria/paea/niko/puka/rearea/nanī/pora (wild cabbage, Māori cabbage) (<i>Brassica oleracea</i>)	Leafy vegetables not at risk (Kuhar et al. 2016)
	aruhe/roi (fernroot) (<i>Pteridium esculentum</i> , <i>P. aquilinum</i> , <i>P. aquilina</i>)	Root and tuber vegetables not at risk (Kuhar et al. 2016)
	kōkihi/rengamutu (NZ spinach) (<i>Tetragonia tetragonoides</i>)	Leafy vegetables not at risk (Kuhar et al. 2016)
Unknown Risk	tii/kouka (cabbage tree) (<i>Cordyline</i> spp.)	
	rengarenga / māikaika (rock lily) (<i>Arthropodium cirratum</i>)	
	puha/rauriki/pororua (<i>Sonchus</i> spp.)	
	harakeke (NZ lowland or swamp flax) (<i>Phormium tenax</i>)	
	wharariki (NZ coastal or mountain flax) (<i>Phormium cookianum</i>)	
	pikopiko	
	mauku/mouku (hen & chicken fern) (<i>Asplenium bulbiferum</i>)	No information found to support a risk profile
	kiokio (palm leaf fern) (<i>Parablechnum novae-zelandiae</i>)	
	paretao/pānako (Shining spleenwort) (<i>Asplenium oblongifolium</i>)	
	pākau (gully fern) (<i>Pneumatopteris pennigera</i>)	
	shield ferns (<i>Polystichum richardii</i> , <i>P. vestitum</i>)	
	mamaku/korau (black tree fern) (<i>Cyathea medullaris</i>)	
	nīkau (<i>Rhopalostylis sapida</i>)	

The following plants were not profiled for various reasons: taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) – cultivation ceased by 1900 (Roskruge (2007)), aute (maro) (*Broussonetia papyrifera*) (paper mulberry) – became extinct after 1844 (Roskruge (2007)), and para (King fern or horseshoe fern) (*Ptisana salicina*) – cultivation for food ceased in the 1920s (Roskruge (2007))

well as other, more commonly grown, vegetables and fruit (EPA 2018) are grown in modern māra kai. Additionally, certain korare, or Maori green vegetables (Roskrige 2015), are likely to be at risk from BMSB. It is reasonable to assume that many of the vegetable and fruit species now grown in māra kai will have a similar BMSB risk status as those listed in Appendix 1.

Natural estate

BMSB is recognised as having a very broad host range (Lee et al. 2015; CABI 2019; Bergmann et al. nd) and many species have been reported to support relatively high BMSB populations or have high BMSB damage, or both (Appendix 1). Almost all records are from productive systems and urban and rural environments. There appear to be no studies examining the impact of BMSB in natural systems within its current invasive or original distribution. No host plants are recorded from what New Zealand would consider the natural estate, although there are numerous records of BMSB populations originating from woodland areas adjacent to crops early in the season (see Rice et al 2014). No records of BMSB collected from New Zealand indigenous or native plants were found. However, there are numerous New Zealand indigenous and native plants that are found within the families of plants that are hosts to BMSB, particularly from the Rosaceae and the Fabaceae (Appendix 1). In other cases, some known BMSB host plant families are not represented in the New Zealand indigenous/native flora (Appendix 1).

DISCUSSION

For Māori, the published discourse on biosecurity is wide-ranging covering constitutional, legal, political, social, cultural, ethical, economic, environmental and pragmatic issues reflecting the broader bi-cultural discussions within New Zealand (e.g. Waitangi Tribunal 2011; McKerchar et al. 2014; Allen et al. 2018; Hutchings et al. 2018; Lambert et al. 2018; Black et al. 2019). Here the discussion is restricted to the direct and tangible impact of BMSB to the plants of value to Māori and under the clear constraint of limited

published information.

Māori have essential interests in horticultural and agricultural commercial enterprises, community gardens and the natural estate (Tables 1 & 2). Within these interests are many plants that are likely to have taonga status. Many fruit (and some vegetable) species in commercial and non-commercial Māori agriculture and horticulture, including māra kai, are likely to be affected by BMSB based on the known impact of BMSB in the northern hemisphere. However, it is not known if BMSB is a threat to many plant species (especially historical grown species) grown in māra kai.

It is difficult to determine the potential risk to New Zealand's indigenous/native flora, and non-cultivated taonga species since no published studies were found that examine the impact of BMSB on natural systems within its current invasive or original distribution. No direct, negative environmental impacts from BMSB have been recorded (Haye et al. 2015). BMSB is typically reported to cause cosmetic damage to fruit and vegetables and there are few reports of extensive BMSB damage threatening host plant viability (Appendix 1). Additionally, damaging outbreak populations are not universal across the current BMSB distribution and are associated with interactions between host plant and insect phenology, as well as host plant nutritional quality, landscape ecology (especially polycultures providing mixed diets and edge effects), photoperiod and possibly haplotype (Leskey & Nielsen 2018) – conditions that are difficult to predict for New Zealand systems.

Many New Zealand indigenous and native species are likely to be BMSB breeding and feeding hosts given the broad host range of BMSB (Appendix 1) but the extent of damage that BMSB will incur on these plants is unclear. In the northern hemisphere, several members of the Rosaceae family are reported as hosts, most of which are fruit tree species. In the native New Zealand flora, the Rosaceae is represented by scrambling vines (such as the bush lawyer (*Rubus* subg. *Micranthobatus*)) and by small herbaceous plants (like *Acaena* spp. and *Potentilla* spp.). Other

species of *Rubus* (blackberries) are recorded as hosts in North America although not noted to be strongly preferred by BMSB (Rice et al. 2014). The records of species in the Fabaceae family as BMSB hosts is of concern. This family includes the taonga species kowhai (*Sophora* spp.) and kaka beak (*Clianthus puniceus*), as well as a large number of native broom species. The pagoda tree (*Styphnolobium japonicum*) is reported to be a host of BMSB with high risk of damage (Appendix 1) and the genera *Styphnolobium* and *Sophora* are very closely related. The Solanaceae is a very large plant family that includes several crops (such as, tobacco, tomato and potato) and BMSB is known to feed on some of these plants. In the New Zealand flora, this family is represented by only two species of poroporo (*Solanum aviculare* and *S. lanciniatum*) and possibly also by a nightshade (*S. nodiflorum*), although the indigenous status of this plant is debated. The Malvaceae is a geographically widespread plant family which includes exotic trees such as hibiscus and the lindens, as well as herbaceous species such as field mallow. In New Zealand, the Malvaceae is represented by two closely related genera *Hoheria* and *Plagianthus* (houhere, ribbonwood or lacebark) which are trees of disturbed habitats, often colonising bush margins and river banks. The northern hemisphere maple belongs to the family Sapindaceae. In the New Zealand flora, the Sapindaceae is represented by the titoki (*Alectryon excelsus*) and by the akeake (*Dodonea viscosa*) both of which were widely used by pre-European Māori. The dogwood family (Cornaceae) is also of significance in the New Zealand flora represented by the native genera *Corokia* and *Griselinia*. The olive family (Oleaceae) is represented in New Zealand by the maire (*Olea apetala*, *O. cunninghamii*, *O. lanceolata* and *O. montana*), trees known for their very hard, durable wood. Most members of the Rubiaceae (the coffee family), form fleshy berries of the type favoured by BMSB. Native genera in the Rubiaceae include the genera *Coprosma* and *Nertera*, which are common throughout the country. Even New Zealand's unique and ancient conifer species may be potential hosts,

as podocarp trees form seeds attached to a fleshy aril, which may support insect feeding.

It should be noted that the New Zealand native flora is unique and very different from the flora of most of the countries currently reporting BMSB colonisation. We suspect that there will be indigenous plant families that have not yet been encountered by BMSB, but that may prove to be suitable hosts in New Zealand. Thus, it appears likely that several indigenous/native plants will be suitable BMSB feeding and breeding hosts but it is unclear whether BMSB will have a significant damage on native plant species in the native estate.

This review provides a baseline for further research. For example, implementing a kaupapa Māori approach may reveal further insights not captured or accessible in conventional literature. Additionally, there are at least two other aspects that are likely to concern Māori if BMSB becomes established in New Zealand. Firstly, BMSB is a significant nuisance pest, with a habit of overwintering in man-made structures in very high densities (Leskey & Nielsen 2018). This has potential consequences for not only kainga (villages) but also for places like whareniui (meeting houses) and wharekai (dining halls) (Te Tira Whākamataki 2017). Secondly, BMSB has a unique habit of feeding through the bark causing copious sap flow at feeding sites where wasps have been reported to feed (Rice et al. 2014). This may contribute to increased impacts from invasive wasps (*Vespula* spp.) that are already a significant pest in New Zealand's natural systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Nick Waipara (PFR), Glenn Greer (PFR), Anna Rathe (Hort NZ), Tracy Leskey (USDA) for very useful comments on an earlier draft. This research was funded by PFR as part of the Better Border Biosecurity research collaboration (<http://b3nz.org>). We are grateful to Manihera Te Hei for translating the title and abstract into Te Reo.

REFERENCES

- Allan HH 1961. Flora of New Zealand. R E Owen, Wellington. 1085 p.
- Allen W, Grant A, Earl L, MacLellan R, Waipara N, Mark-Shadbolt M, Ogilvie S, Langer ER, Marzano M 2018. The use of rubrics to improve integration and engagement between biosecurity agencies and their key partners and stakeholders: a surveillance example. In: Urquhart J, Marzano M, Potter C (eds). The Human Dimensions of Forest and Tree Health. Palgrave Macmillan. pp. 269–298.
- Amoamo M, Ruwhiu D, Carter L 2018. Framing the Māori economy. The complex business of Māori business. MAI Journal 7(1): 66–78.
- Andreadis SS, Navrozidis EI, Farmakis A, Pisalidis A. 2018. First evidence of *Halyomorpha halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) infesting kiwi fruit (*Actinidia chinensis*) in Greece. Journal of Entomological Science 53(3): 402–405.
- Anonymous 2012. Temperature controlled horticulture. <http://www.tuaropaki.com/our-business/temperature-controlled-horticulture/> (accessed April 2019).
- Anonymous 2015a. Ngati Porou Miere entity enters manuka honey industry. <https://ngatiporou.com/article/ngati-porou-miere-entity-enters-manuka-honey-industry> (accessed April 2019).
- Anonymous 2015b. Horticulture. <https://www.kono.co.nz/#horticulture-1> (accessed April 2019).
- Anonymous 2018a. Iwi collective beats out foreign bidders to buy 100 ha of Te Puke kiwifruit orchards. https://www.nzherald.co.nz/business/news/article.cfm?c_id=3&objectid=12078553 (accessed April 2019).
- Anonymous 2018b. Rural partnership bears fruit for Ngāpuhi whanau. Northland Age. 21 June 2018. https://www.nzherald.co.nz/the-country/news/article.cfm?c_id=16&objectid=12074942 (accessed April 2019).
- Anonymous 2019. Welcome to Oha Honey. <https://www.watsonandson.co.nz/> (accessed April 2019).
- Ballingall J, Pambudi D 2017. Quantifying the economic impacts of a brown marmorated stink bug incursion in New Zealand. A dynamic Computable General Equilibrium modelling assessment. New Zealand Institute of Economic Research. Wellington, New Zealand.
- Bergmann E, Bernhard KM, Bernon G, Bickerton M, Gill S, Gonzales C, Hamilton GC, Hedstrom C, Kamminga K, Koplinka-Loehr C, Krawczyk G, Kuhar TP, Kunkel B, Lee J, Leskey TC, Martinson H, Nielsen AL, Raupp M, Shearer P, Shrewsbury P, Walgenbach J, Whalen J, Wiman N. No date. Host plants of the brown marmorated stink bug in the U.S. <https://www.stopbmsb.org/where-is-bmsb/host-plants/> (accessed April 2019).
- Black A, Mark-Shadbolt M, Garner G, Green J, Malcolm T, Marsh A, Ropata H, Waipara N, Wood W 2018. How an indigenous community responded to the incursion and spread of myrtle rust (*Austropuccinia psidii*) that threatens culturally significant plant species – a case study from New Zealand. Pacific Conservation Biology. <https://doi.org/10.1071/PC18052> (accessed April 2019).
- BHNSC undated. New Zealand's Biological Heritage National Science Challenge Ngā Koiora Tuku Iho 2019–2024. http://www.biologicalheritage.nz/__data/assets/pdf_file/0013/174001/NZBH-NSC-Strategy-Booklet-A5-Screen-Version.pdf (accessed April 2019).
- Biosecurity NZ 2018. Biosecurity 2025. <https://www.biosecurity.govt.nz/protection-and-response/biosecurity/biosecurity-2025/> (accessed April 2019).
- Bosco L, Moraglio ST, Tavella L 2018. *Halyomorpha halys*, a serious threat for hazelnut in newly invaded areas. Journal of Pest Science 91(2): 661–670.
- Bristow R 2019. Horticulture potential excites outgoing water chairman. North Canterbury News. 9 March 2019. <http://www.nnews.co.nz/community/horticulture-potential-excites-outgoing-water-chairman/> (accessed April 2019).

- April 2019).
- Brockerhoff EG, Barratt BIP, Beggs JR, Fagan LL, Kay MK, Phillips CB, Vink CJ 2010. Impacts of exotic invertebrates on New Zealand's indigenous species and ecosystems. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 34(1): 158–174.
- CABI 2019. *Halyomorpha halys* (brown marmorated stink bug). Invasive Species Compendium. <https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/27377#tohostsOrSpeciesAffected> (Accessed April 2019).
- Chapman Tripp 2017. Te Ao Māori. Trends and insights. Pipiri 2017. <https://www.chapmantripp.com/Publication%20PDFs/2017%20Chapman%20Tripp%20Te%20Ao%20Maori%20-%20trends%20and%20insights%20E-VERSION.pdf> (accessed April 2019).
- Department of Conservation 2006. Ngāi Tahu taonga plant species. <http://www.doc.govt.nz/documents/about-doc/concessions-and-permits/conservation-revealed/ngai-tahu-taonga-plants-lowres.pdf> (accessed April 2019).
- Duthie C 2012. Risk analysis of *Halyomorpha halys* (brown marmorated stink bug) on all pathways. Ministry for Primary Industries. Wellington, New Zealand.
- Duthie C. 2015. The likelihood of establishment of the brown marmorated stink bug in the New Zealand autumn/winter period. Ministry for Primary Industries. Wellington, New Zealand.
- Edney-Browne E, Brockerhoff EG, Ward D 2018. Establishment patterns of non-native insects in New Zealand. *Biological Invasions* 20: 1657–1669.
- EPA 2018. APP203336. HSNO Application Register. <https://www.epa.govt.nz/database-search/hsno-application-register/view/APP203336> (accessed April 2019).
- Fulton T 2018. Orchard plot points to new prospects. *Farmers Weekly*. 22 May 2018. <https://farmersweekly.co.nz/section/agribusiness/view/orchard-plot-points-to-new-prospects> (accessed April 2019).
- Funayama K 2005. Does the brown-marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) reproduce by feeding on the cones of Japanese cedar, *Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don? *Japanese Journal of Applied Entomology and Zoology* 49: 265–268.
- Goldson SL, Bourdôt GW, Brockerhoff EG, Byrom AE, Clout MN, McGlone MS, Nelson WA, Popay AJ, Suckling DM, Templeton MD 2015. New Zealand pest management: current and future challenges. *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand* 45(1), 31–58.
- Haye T, Gariepy T, Hoelmer K, Rossi J-P, Streito J-C, Tassus X, Desneux N 2015. Range expansion of the invasive brown marmorated stinkbug, *Halyomorpha halys*: an increasing threat to field, fruit and vegetable crops worldwide. *Journal of Pesticide Science* 88(4): 665–673.
- Hellstrom J, Moore D, Black M 2008. Think piece on the future of pest management in New Zealand. LECG. Wellington.
- Hoebeke ER, Carter ME 2003. *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae): a polyphagous plant pest from Asia newly detected in North America. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society Washington* 105: 225–237.
- Hutchings J, Smith J, Roskrige N, Severne C, Mika J, Panoho J 2017. Enhancing Māori agribusiness through kaitiakitanga tools. www.ourlandandwater.nz (accessed April 2019).
- Hutchings J, Smith J, Harmsworth, G 2018. Elevating the mana of soil through the Hua Parakore Framework. *MAI Journal* 7(1): 92–102.
- Kingi T 2013. Cultural bastions, farm optimisation and tribal agriculture in Aotearoa (New Zealand). *Proceedings 22nd International Grassland Congress: 1898–1904*.
- KPMG 2017. Māori Economy Investor Guide. Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. https://docs.wixstatic.com/ugd/09098_5bf4b8058e8845038cd9b9d776c1c3f6.pdf (accessed April 2019).
- Kuhar T, Morrison R, Leskey T, Aigner J, Dively

- G, Zobel E, Brust J, Whalen J, Cissel B, Walgenbach J, Rice K, Fleischer S, Rondon S 2016. Integrated Pest Management for brown marmorated stink bug in vegetables. <https://www.stopbmsb.org/stopBMSB/assets/File/BMSB-in-Vegetables-English.pdf> (accessed April 2019).
- Kiwifruit Vine Health 2017. BMSB – kiwifruit impacts and on-orchard management. <http://www.kvh.org.nz/vdb/document/103548> (accessed April 2019).
- Lambert S, Waipara N, Black A, Mark-Shadbolt M, Wood W 2018. Indigenous biosecurity: Māori responses to kauri dieback and myrtle rust in Aotearoa New Zealand. In: Urquhart J, Marzano M, Potter C eds. *The Human Dimensions of Forest and Tree Health*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Landow D 2018. The culture of caring. *The Orchardist* 91(11): 34–39.
- Lee DH, Short BD, Joseph SV, Bergh JC, Leskey TC 2013. Review of the biology, ecology, and management of *Halyomorpha halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in China, Japan, and the Republic of Korea. *Environmental Entomology* 42: 627–641.
- Leskey TC, Hamilton GC, Nielsen AL, Polk DE, Rodriguez-Saona C, Bergh JC, Ames Herbert D, Kuhar TP, Pfeiffer D, Dively GP, Hooks CRR, Raupp MJ, Shrewsbury PM, Krawczyk G, Shearer PW, Whalen J, Koplinka-Loehr C, Myers E, Inkley D, Hoelmer KA, Lee D-H, Wright SE. 2012. Pest status of the brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål), in the USA. *Outlooks on Pest Management* 23: 218–266.
- Leskey TC, Nielsen AL 2018. Impact of the invasive brown marmorated stink bug in North America and Europe: history, biology, ecology, and management. *Annual Review of Entomology* 63:599–618.
- Māori Kiwifruit Growers 2017. Māori kiwifruit growers. <https://www.maorikiwifruitgrowers.com/> (accessed April 2019).
- McGowan R 2012. Nga Taonga o te Wao Nui a Tāne – a cultural perspective. *Planting and Managing Native Trees*. Technical article No. 3.1. Tane's Tree Trust. https://www.tanestrees.org.nz/site/assets/files/1069/3_1_a_cultural_perspective.pdf (accessed May 2019).
- McKerchar C, Bower S, Heta C, Signal L, Mateo L 2015. Enhancing Māori food security using traditional kai. *Global Health Promotion* 22 (3): 15–24.
- MPBI 2019. Mangatawa Papamoia Blocks Inc. Orchards – Kiwifruit. <http://mangatawa.co.nz/content/orchards> (accessed April 2019).
- MPI 2016. Biosecurity 2025. Direction Statement for New Zealand's biosecurity system. Ministry for Primary Industries. Wellington.
- MPI 2019. Plant health surveillance and incursion investigation report. *Surveillance* 46(1): 34–36.
- Rice KB, Bergh CJ, Bergmann EJ, Biddinger DJ, Dieckhoff C, Dively G, Fraser H, Garipey T, Hamilton G, Haye T, Herbert A, Hoelmer K, Hooks CR, Jones A, Krawczyk G, Kuhar T, Mitchell W, Nielsen AL, Pfeiffer DG, Raupp MJ, Rodriguez-Saona C, Shearer P, Shrewsbury P, Venugopal PD, Whalen J, Wiman NG, Leskey TC, Tooker JF. 2014. Biology, ecology, and management of brown marmorated stink bug (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). *Journal Integrated Pest Management* 5: A1–13.
- Roberts M 2009. Looking backwards into the future. *Biosecurity* 90: 4-6. MAF Biosecurity, Wellington, New Zealand.
- Roskrige N 2007. *Hokia ki te whenua*. Unpublished PhD. Massey University, New Zealand.
- Roskrige N 2015. *Tahua-Roa: Food for your visitors: Korare: Maori green vegetables. their history and tips on their use*. Institute of Natural Resources. Massey University. 116 p.
- Sanson-Fisher RW, Campbell EM, Perkins JJ, Blunden SV, Davis BB 2006. Indigenous health research: a critical review of outputs over time. *Medical Journal of Australia* 184: 502–505.
- Sikes BA, Bufford JL, Hulme PE, Cooper JA, Johnston PR, Duncan RP 2018. Import volumes and biosecurity interventions shape

- the arrival rate of fungal pathogens. *PLoS Biology* 16(5), e2006025.
- STOP BMSB 2015. Specialty Crops at Risk to BMSB Damage. USDA. <http://www.stopbmsb.org/where-is-bmsb/crops-at-risk/> (accessed June 2019)
- Tāhuri Whenua 2019. Tāhuri Whenua National Maori Vegetable Grower Collective. <http://www.tahuriwhenua.org.nz/> (accessed April 2019).
- TDB Advisory Ltd 2019. Iwi investment report. Wellington. <https://www.tdb.co.nz/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/TDB-2018-Iwi-Investment-Report.pdf> (accessed April 2019).
- Teulon DAJ, Xu B. 2018. Biosecurity risks from stink bugs to New Zealand kiwifruit identified in Chinese language literature. *New Zealand Plant Protection* 71: 140–150.
- Teulon DAJ, Alipia TT, Ropata HT, Green JM, Viljanen-Rollinson SLH, Cromey MG, Arthur K, MacDiarmid RM, Waipara MW, Marsh AT 2015. The threat of myrtle rust to Māori taonga plant species in New Zealand. *New Zealand Plant Protection* 68: 66–75.
- Te Puni Kōkiri 2019. Te Pū Harakeke Fund. <https://www.tpk.govt.nz/en/whakamahia/skills-learning-and-education/te-pu-harakeke-fund> (accessed April 2019).
- Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu 2013. Thriving māra kai. https://ngaitahu.iwi.nz/our_stories/thriving-mara-kai/ (accessed April 2019).
- Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu 2018. Submission on APP203336 - Application to import and release the parasitoid *Trissolcus japonicus* (Samurai wasp) as a biological control agent for the Brown Marmorated Stink Bug. <https://www.epa.govt.nz/assets/FileAPI/hsno-ar/APP203336/234d30beec/APP203336-Submissions-Vol-5-of-6.pdf> (accessed April 2019).
- Te Tira Whākamataki 2017. Kōrero. Te Tira Whākamataki. <https://www.epa.govt.nz/assets/FileAPI/hsno-ar/APP203336/Appendix-4-Te-Turi-Whakamataki-information-Sheet-1.pdf> (accessed April 2019).
- Te Tira Whākamataki no date. Te Tira Whākamataki. <https://ttw.co.nz/index.php/home/> (accessed April 2019).
- Tuku 2018. Māori Winemakers Collective. <https://poutama.co.nz/tuku-wine-launch/> (accessed April 2019).
- Vandervoet TF, Bellamy D, Anderson D, MacLellan R 2019. Trapping for early detection of the brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys*, in New Zealand. *New Zealand Plant Protection* 72: 36-43.
- Waitangi Tribunal 2011. Ko Aotearoa tēnei. *A Report into Claims Concerning New Zealand Law and Policy Affecting Māori Culture and Identity*. Te Taumata Tuarua. Volume 1. WAI 262. Waitangi Tribunal Report 2011.

APPENDIX 1

A summary of the plant species that host relatively large BMSB populations (largely from Bergmann et al. nd) and for which there is a moderate to high risk of BMSB damage (largely from STOP BMSB 2015). Definitions for 'high', and 'moderate' risk from BMSB were not defined. In many instances plant species for BMSB plant hosts were listed as common names so plant genera were inferred.

BMSB plant host	Plant family	High abundance	Damage	Reference	NZ native genera in same family
<i>Actinidia</i> spp. (kiwifruit)	Actinidiaceae		Feeding results in leaf yellowing & black/blue spots/scars on the fruit. Injured fruit becomes white & spongy & rots. Flower, bud or fruit drop may occur.	Teulon & Xu 2018	No representatives in NZ indigenous flora

<i>Amaranthus caudatus</i>	Amaranthaceae	+	No record of damage	Bergmann et al. nd	
<i>Celosia argentea</i>	Amaranthaceae		'damage'	Hoebeke and Carter 2003	<i>Alternanthera</i> (a Northland bog plant)
<i>Beta</i> (Swiss chard/silverbeet)	Amaranthaceae		High risk of damage	STOP BMSB 2015	
<i>Ilex aquifolium</i> (English holly)	Aquifoliaceae	+	No record of damage	Bergmann et al. nd	No representatives in NZ indigenous flora
<i>Asparagus officinalis</i> (asparagus)	Asparagaceae		Moderate risk of damage	STOP BMSB 2015	No representatives in NZ indigenous flora
<i>Helianthus</i> spp. (sunflower)	Asteraceae Tribe Heliantheae	+	No record of damage	Bergmann et al. nd	<i>Bidens</i> , <i>Siegesbeckia</i> (herbaceous daisies)
<i>Corylus</i> sp. (hazelnut)	Betulaceae		High risk. Damages nuts throughout the entire period of kernel development	STOP BMSB 2015, Bosco et al. 2018	No representatives in NZ indigenous flora
<i>Catalpa</i> spp. (catalpa)	Bignoniaceae	+	No record of damage	Bergmann et al. nd	<i>Tecomanthe</i>
<i>Brassica</i> spp. (broccoli, cauliflower, collard)	Brassicaceae		Broccoli & cauliflower: Moderate risk Collard & horseradish: Moderate risk	STOP BMSB 2015	<i>Lepidium</i> (scurvy grass, nau), <i>Notothlapsi</i> (pen wiper), <i>Pachycladon</i> , <i>Cardamine</i> , <i>Cheesemania</i> , <i>Rorippa</i>
<i>Armoracia</i> sp. (horseradish)					
<i>Cornus</i> sp. (flowering dogwood)	Cornaceae		Moderate risk of damage	STOP BMSB 2015	<i>Corokia</i> , <i>Griselinia</i>
<i>Cucurbita</i> sp. (cucumber)	Cucurbitaceae		Moderate risk of damage	STOP BMSB 2015	<i>Sicyos</i> (mawhai)
<i>Cupressus</i> sp. (cyprus)	Cupressaceae		'is a pest'	Funayama 2005	<i>Libocedrus</i> (kawaka, pahautea)
<i>Vaccinium</i> sp. (blueberry)	Ericaceae		Moderate risk of damage	STOP BMSB 2015	<i>Gaultheria</i> (snowberry), <i>Pernettya</i>

<i>Mimosa</i> spp. (sensitive plant)	Fabaceae	+	No record of damage	Bergmann et al. nd	
<i>Cercis canadensis</i> (eastern redbud)	Fabaceae	+	High risk of damage	Bergmann et al. nd, STOP BMSB 2015	<i>Sophora</i> (kowhai), <i>Clianthus</i> (kaka beak),
<i>Styphnolobium japonicum</i> (Japanese pagoda tree)	Fabaceae		High risk of damage	STOP BMSB 2015	<i>Corallospartium</i> , <i>Notospartium</i> , <i>Chordospartium</i> (Marlborough brooms),
<i>Glycine max</i> (soybean, edamame)	Fabaceae	+	High risk of damage. Shrivelled seeds & flattened pods	Bergmann et al. nd, STOP BMSB 2015, Rice et al. 2014	<i>Swainsonia</i> (alpine)
Bean (green, pole, snap)	Fabaceae		High risk for green, pole & snap beans	STOP BMSB 2015	
lima bean	Fabaceae		Moderate damage for lima bean		
<i>Magnolia grandifolia</i> (southern magnolia)	Magnoliaceae	+	No record of damage	Bergmann et al. nd	No representatives in NZ indigenous flora
<i>Hibiscus rosasinesis</i>	Malvaceae		'damage'	Hoebeker and Carter 2003	
<i>Abelmoschus</i> sp. (okra)	Malvaceae	+	High risk of damage	STOP BMSB 2015	<i>Plagianthis</i> (ribbonwood), <i>Hoheria</i> (houhere, lacebark)
<i>Tilia</i> sp. (littleleaf linden)	Malvaceae		Moderate risk damage	STOP BMSB 2015	
<i>Morus alba</i> (white mulberry)	Moraceae	+	No record of damage	Bergmann et al. nd	<i>Paratrophis</i> (turepo)
<i>Syringa pekinensis</i> (Peking tree lilac)	Oleaceae		High risk of damage	STOP BMSB 2015	<i>Olea</i> (maire)
<i>Phalaenopsis</i> (moth orchid)	Orchidaceae Tribe Vandeeae	+	No record of damage type	Bergmann et al. nd	<i>Drymoanthus</i> (twig orchid)
<i>Paulownia tomentosa</i> (princess tree)	Paulowniaceae	+	Severely affected. Paulownia witches broom (vectored by BMSB) can greatly reduce growth & vigour of trees	Bergmann et al. nd, Hoebeker and Carter 2003	No representatives in NZ indigenous flora
<i>Cedrus</i> sp. (cedar)	Pinaceae		'is a pest'	Funayama 2005	No representatives in NZ indigenous flora
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i> (plane tree)	Platanaceae	+	No record of damage	Rice et al. 2014	No representatives in NZ indigenous flora

<i>Zea mays</i> (corn, sweet corn)	Poaceae	+	High risk. Discoloured and shrink individual kernels. Some ear abortion?	Bergmann et al. nd, STOP BMSB 2015, Rice et al. 2014	<i>Festuca, Poa, Austrofestusca, Festuca, Puccinellia</i> (grasses)
<i>Prunus</i> spp. various (incl. peach, nectarine, apricot, cherry, flowering cherry)	Rosaceae	+	Peach/nectarine: High risk. Apricot & cherry: Moderate risk Stonefruit: Feeding causes depressed or sunken areas that may become cat-faced Apple, pear & Asian pear: High risk Pome fruit: Feeding results in indented depressions on the surface & corky spots in the fruit flesh. Feeding may cause fruiting structures to abort prematurely	Bergmann et al. nd, STOP BMSB 2015, Rice et al. 2014	
<i>Pyrus</i> spp. (pear, Asian pear)	Rosaceae	+	Crab apple: wilting plants	Bergmann et al. nd, STOP BMSB 2015, Rice et al. 2014	<i>Rubus</i> (bush lawyer), <i>Acaena</i> (bidibid), <i>Potentilla</i> , <i>Geum</i> (alpines)
<i>Malus</i> (incl. apple & crab apple)	Rosaceae	+	Wilting plants	Bergmann et al. nd, Leskey et al. 2012	
<i>Crateagus</i> sp. (hawthorne)	Rosaceae		Moderate risk of damage	Leskey et al. 2012	
<i>Amelanchier</i> sp. (serviceberry)	Rosaceae		Wilting plants	STOP BMSB 2015, Leskey et al. 2012	
<i>Tetradium daniell</i> (bee bee tree)	Rutaceae		High risk of damage	STOP BMSB 2015	<i>Phebalium</i> (mairehau), <i>Melicope</i> (wharangiri)
<i>Acer</i> spp.	Sapindaceae	+	None described	Rice et al. 2014	<i>Alectryon</i> (titoki), <i>Dodonea</i> (akeake)
<i>Ailanthus altissima</i> (tree of heaven)	Simaroubaceae	+		Bergmann et al. nd	No representatives in NZ indigenous flora
<i>Capsicum annuum</i> (pepper)	Solanaceae	+	High risk of damage	Bergmann et al. nd, STOP BMSB 2015	
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> (tomato)	Solanaceae	+	High risk. Injury includes white spongy areas on the skin & internal tissue damage	Bergmann et al. nd, STOP BMSB 2015	<i>Solanum</i> (poroporo)
<i>Solanum melongena</i> (eggplant)	Solanaceae	+	High risk of damage	Bergmann et al. nd, STOP BMSB 2015	
<i>Phasalis</i> sp. (tomatillo)	Solanaceae		Moderate risk of damage	STOP BMSB 2015	
<i>Ulmus</i> sp.	Ulmaceae	+	No record of damage	Rice et al. 2014	No representatives in NZ indigenous flora